

ALAN WATT BLURB (i.e. Educational Talk):
"PATHOCRATS' CONSPIRACY AGENDA
FOR
UPCOMING GENERATION"
(From Ministry of Defense)"
April 13, 2007

**Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - April 13, 2007 (Exempting
Music and Literary Quotes)**

WWW.CUTTINGTHROUGHTHEMATRIX.COM

www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. Today is Friday the 13th of April 2007.

Let's hope this show goes easy tonight, without any problems on the technical end, which does happen once in a while. Friday the 13th was always unlucky, because of old Jacques DeMolay, the Grand Master of the Knights Templar. That's when he was put to death apparently, so they say. "Jake the Mole," as he's better called, in the underworld of pass signs and quip words.

Today, I'm going to talk about the think tanks, which are working so hard and fervently to keep the psychopathic world's elite. Yes, it's the world's elite. Every nation, race, et cetera has its psychopathic elite. These are the ones who get to the top through conniving, ruthlessness and going over the bodies of all those on their way up. That's psychopathy. We have a world pathocracy—a big club, where they give each of their knighthoods and run off to London to get knighted by the Queen, in the old Nimrod Palace with all of its quaint thousands of years old ceremonies (which didn't originate in England).

Getting back to the think tanks: yes, they're all occupied and well paid in figuring out every possible scenario that could possibly bring the psychopathic elite down from their palace in the clouds. Therefore, they have these futurists societies all mingled with them, and then they put out authors to give us predictive programming, and get us ready for the next step of the elite's preparations to protect themselves.

We know what they want to do to protect themselves, since only the mob down below are ever the problem. Ordinary people who are not psychopaths have emotions and empathy for other people. Their survival capabilities need empathy for others, because if you take care of others, then hopefully when you're down and out, or whatever, they take care of you. That's how empathy works. It's a survival mechanism for everyone. Whereas the psychopath can only cooperate with other psychopaths, when they're all riding on top, in a system which they control and setup and own, basically. However, they're terrified of the mob,

always.

Old Huxley talked about the reason that old Rome fell, the ancient elite of Rome. (They didn't fall, by the way. They all moved out before it fell. The elite always move out before it falls, and build an empire elsewhere. That's another story altogether.) **Huxley said, "the reason it fell was because they couldn't supply enough bread and circus for the people."** You see, they hate the people. They are disgusted at people.

They know the ordinary people are different from themselves. Being psychopaths, they know they're different from the ordinary people. They kind of study us, like a predator would study its prey. That's why they put out so much money, long before they started studying insects, and bugs, and how worms regenerate themselves, and all this stuff we're flooded with. They were studying mankind, humankind, both male and female, because once they knew the nature and the instincts, the drives of people, they could manipulate them; and they certainly have always manipulated them. Even the need for meeting or looking for something "out there," the spiritual thing that people crave for, in every generation, for thousands of years. They tend to either give us the ones to follow, or they distort something which is original, in order to control the rest, while they themselves don't fall for what they call these "superstitions."

They have their own inner belief; and they do believe that they're gods, if you understand what a god is. What is a god? A god is something which has immense power over everything. It doesn't have to be supreme. In the ancient world there were many gods, and there were layers of gods, a decreed system of gods (just like Masonry). That's why they created secret societies, to sift out the ones with a bit of brains; but they also had to be psychopathic. They can get up there and abuse the ones below, so they call the ones below and all the rest of the public, **"the profane, those in the darkness,"** those who have swallowed the reality that's been presented to them, actually, instilled in them, by the conditioning process.

They are disgusted with ordinary people, and they laugh and sneer. Years after they pull something off, which is one of a grand scale, they have a technique in the high occult Masonry of the revelation of the method, where they'll pick one of their authors to tell us, "Yes, it was done this way. You're right. It happened." How clever, yah de yah and we're all stunned. It casts a spell over us, like the original act in itself did, like Jack the Ripper, for instance. It cast a spell over people; this strange occultic-type of murder that was going on in London, with all the Masonic emblems left on the walls, and even the manner of the disemboweling, as part of the Masonic ceremony (if they ever disclose the secrets). A hundred years later, Scotland Yard comes out and tells us the truth. They knew who it was. It was one of their own, who worked for Queen Victoria, and the movie **"From Hell"** goes into that pretty factually, in fact.

Therefore, they can keep anything secret, for a hundred years or as long as they wish. One day they'll come out and tell the public (probably when we're all brain chipped and we can't think at all anymore), "Yes, we did kill John F. Kennedy, because of yah-de-yah-de-yah." We live in quite the Mickey Mouse world; almost of make believe, because it is thought out. Every generation's thinking, and all the major things they will experience, is thought out long before they were born,

because **THE WORLD IS ONE GIANT BUSINESS.** They lay their plans for the business, way, way in advance.

Empire building is just corporate takeover, until you have the world corporation, which we have already, by the way. At the top there are no independent corporations competing with each other. We have the illusion of it. So the think tanks sit and say, "My goodness, what could the peasants do next?" They bring in big professors of philosophy and history: the Quigley's, the Toynbee's and all the rest of them. They go through all the scenarios that happened in the past and "could they happen again?" formulas. Everything you see, according to them, is a formula in society, as though it was a natural ebb and flow of things. If they can see how the flow is coming along, they can predict what will happen next. This is how they do it. They think it's scientific, and therefore they plan for it, but they also condition the population growing up as to what to expect in their lifetime—how to react to it and how to obey it.

When you look at the big great families, you'll see the very wealthy families like the Bronfman's, who came from Russia in the late 1800's. Supposedly, they always give us these crazy, silly, idiotic stories, very much like the Rothschild's being rag and bone men, and the same with the Bronfman's who just came to Canada. These little farmers who didn't do any farming, dirt poor, and just happened to get all set up for prohibition, to run the whole liquor trade from Canada into the U.S., right down to having a cruise ship to take booze down there and run all the mafia, et cetera. Furthermore, Bronfman just happens to be Yiddish for "whiskey man." What a coincidence, eh?

Now, of course, the Bronfman family is acceptable and respectable. You see, that's how psychopaths become respectable. They get up there, past all the wheeling and dealing stage, and the killing stage, and all that stuff, and the illegal stuff; and once they get beyond that, and can buy everyone off, you're respectable. It's kind of like royalty, in all ages, that slaughtered their way to the top. That's psychopathy. We get fooled because these old kings and queens and crooks—and all the rest of it, all the way up to the present day—get authors and historians to write their histories and flower it up. Cover it with perfume, and make them all such great and grandiose people that want to help the politicians and run peoples lives, because they are now very respectable. They wear suits and ties, and they don't have to hire little thugs to kill their competition. That's the real world. That's the real world we live in.

There are very good books out in Canada on the Bronfman's, very interesting reading, for people to pick up and go through. It also gives you the Kennedy's, because the Bronfman's were in cahoots with the Kennedy's, old Joe when he was helping with the booze trade and to make their fortune; and they're all respectable, as well. They fell afoul, mind you, and they took the consequences, because they have their codes here in psychopathy, at the top. The think tanks they employ go through every scenario to protect them.

The reason they go to such incredible lengths and bizarre fantasy almost, science fiction wise, is because they themselves are so terrified, as I say, of the people, because they suspect, "maybe the people have good psychopaths down there that haven't come up yet, and might want to take over." Therefore, they go overboard in protecting their system, which is not humane. It's a psychopathic system on

profit, misery and fear. That's how this system is run.

Here's what was in "***The Guardian***," Monday, **April 9th, 2007**, and who was selected to write this? **Richard Norton-Taylor**. Norton-Taylor. They call that a "doubled-barreled" name. It's more impressive than John Smith or something like that. It says here:

"Protective Chemical Suits. The Ministry of Defense predicts more use of chemical weapons."

Alan: They predict it, eh? They predict more use of chemical weapons. This is how they give their statements to the British public, and to the world. It's not about how they came to this conclusion or anything, or the think tanks that were paid millions to go through these scenarios—every kind of scenario you can imagine.

He goes on to say:

"Information chips implanted in the brain. Electromagnetic pulse weapons. The middle classes becoming revolutionary, taking on the role of Marx's proletariat. The population of countries in the Middle East increasing by 132%, while Europe's drops as fertility falls. "Flashmobs..."

Alan: They love the lingo. Lingo, these little words they come up with, "**Flashmobs.**"

"Flashmobs - which are groups rapidly mobilized by criminal gangs or terrorists groups."

Alan: Let's just go over this little paragraph here. Look at how this is presented to the public. This is standard British predictive programming. It's giving you fear, on one hand, without explaining exactly why they've come to these conclusions, as to what's going to happen, but it gives us this fear in the public. It should also terrify the middle class, because it tells the middle class something which has been suspected for a long time. They are definitely to be gotten rid of by this psychopathic elite, because they're not worried about lower psychopaths with less power, from the lower classes, jumping up there and taking over. They're worried about some people in the middle classes doing it, because that's where most of them came from. They worked their way up from the bottom, middle and the top.

Why would the middle classes become revolutionary? It doesn't explain that part. It means that something's going to happen to the middle classes to make them revolutionary, and also the professors they bring in, like Huxley and Toynbee, that used to be brought in, and now they have other professors that have taken over. Experts you see—their own higher Masonic men that they trust. They've gone through history for them, and what they've really told them is that most revolutions are actually middle class revolutions. The French Revolution, for instance, was a middle class revolution. The American Revolution was an upper middle class revolution, although the middle classes and lesser ones fought it. Mainly, the lesser ones fought it all, and the middle classes certainly gained from it. In fact, the psychopaths often lead it. This is the problem, you see. They become leaders and they lead things. They don't care what movement it is. They

sense popular opinion, and like chameleons they adopt the attitude of the movement and become leaders. That's how they get to the top.

Here they are:

"The population of countries in the Middle East increasing by 132%, while Europe's drops as fertility falls."

Alan: Let's just analyze this little part. The Middle East, so far, isn't emulating completely the west. They don't have all of the "civilization," as we call it, "democracy," of a "rather free lifestyle," shall we say, to put it mildly, when it comes to copulation. They don't have abortion clinics or strip clubs or any of that kind of stuff. Therefore, naturally, their population is going on fairly as normal, although a lot of the younger ones are being killed off by western troops. The west has been declining with fertility, really since the '50's heavily, since the beginning, in fact, of even polio vaccinations.

You'll see the United Nations, coming out every year, telling us just matter-of-factly, by the way, and that's your key to this as a planned thing. Otherwise, they would state that we have a crisis, but they don't say we have a crisis, although it should be. They'll tell you the actual sperm count of the western male every year. In fact it's due about now. This is our time to be told how infertile we're all becoming. There's never an explanation given, and it's just put in with a bunch of other statistics, which means they're right on track. It's the right part of the plan, you see. It's not a shock to them because it's planned that way.

When they told us a couple of years ago, the western males' sperm count was down by 75% of 1950's levels, that should have been a wakeup call to everyone, but it was passed over as "ho-hum." That's how dumbed-down we are. As Brzezinski said, we don't panic or react. We don't think heavily about something, unless the media tells us to really think about this. Yes, they know the populations in the west are coming down, because it was planned that way. In fact, one of the few rising businesses across North America, and parts of Europe, happens to be the infertility business, the clinics making lots of money on this. You always find that medicine and the legal society benefits from whatever the psychopaths at the top happen to do within society.

"And information chips implanted in the brain."

Alan: Here we go. It doesn't really tell you why.

"Electromagnetic pulse weaponry."

Alan: Electromagnetic pulse weaponry (EMPW), as they call it, has been used for years. It's nothing new; and it's been used and been tested out, big-time, over the last few years. I've witnessed it in the sky myself, and I've studied the whole subject, so I know the symptoms of it when you see it. It's being used on a wide scale; and who has all this weaponry? The elite have, at the top. Who has the ability to make us all sterile? The elite have, at the top. They have it all. Who has the ability to make the middle classes revolutionary? It's because the elite, whatever they do to the rest of them, would make it so. Everything they mention there, actually, is going to be caused by the elite themselves.

"Flashmobs-- groups rapidly mobilized by criminal gangs or terrorists groups."

Alan: Remember, "criminal" is a term that can be put on anybody or any group, or any nation, in fact, and it is. When the United Nations happens to call a people "a rogue state," that means a "rogue nation." That means they're a criminal state. They've broken a treaty, even if they never signed it with the United Nations, and so they're all criminals. Therefore, anyone can become a criminal, depending on what law is passed about whatever topic. If you wore yellow clothes and it was forbidden, you'd suddenly become a criminal. These things happen, silly things like this. It's not that bad yet; but that's what it can do.

To continue with this:

"This is the world in 30 years' time envisaged by a Ministry of Defense team responsible for painting a picture of the "future strategic context..."

Alan: They love these terms. That's a psychopathic term. They love these little terms.

"...likely to face Britain's armed forces. It includes an "analysis of the key risks and shocks". Rear Admiral Chris Parry, head of the Ministry of Defense's Development, Concepts & Doctrine Centre..."

Alan: They have Concept and Doctrine Centre. While we're all sitting here—and humming along the songs are churned out (if you understand them these days), and soaking up the movies that give us predictive programming, to escape a little bit of misery in our lives, or whatever it is we do to get relief—these characters have these think tanks, and they go 24 hours a day, in shifts, working in shifts. Unlimited financing for the computers and staff, because we pay for it all through taxes, to dream up things they're going to do to us.

The Concepts and Doctrine Centre:

"...which drew up the report, describes the assessments as "probability-based, rather than predictive".

Alan: Isn't that interesting—probability-based, rather than predictive. That means that it's one major scenario set-up that they have thought might happen, because they know what they're going to do to the public, to make things happen. This is one little tunnel, you might say, that comes off this, a response type thing. However, there will be other ones, like Plan A, B, C & D and so on. You can never be too careful when you're a megalomaniac and psychopathic, or a whole bunch of them.

It says:

"The 90-page report comments on widely discussed issues such as the growing economic importance of India and China..."

Alan: That again is your typical British way of telling us—it's actually like talking

to a schoolboy, talking down to a schoolboy, without giving him all the facts. That's how it's done, from the elite to the peasantry over there.

"The 90-page report comments on widely discussed issues..."

Alan: Widely discussed by whom? It's by these think tanks, and by the elite.

"...such as the growing economic importance of India and China..."

Alan: When Britain went in to India, to supposedly expand its empire (and there are two sides to that whole story) supposedly found India not as a united nation, but as a conglomerate of little states, basically with different cultures. There are so many languages in India, and different peoples really. Their whole idea was to unite them all, but they couldn't do it through force. They sent in a man called "Gandhi" that came out of Oxford, too, I believe, to do it all for them under a different technique. However, they didn't pull out of India, until they'd already set-up a couple of generations and trained them in the British school system, made them the middle class of bureaucrats, and then they pull out. That was a mandate, actually, under the United Nations and the Commonwealth countries. They don't pull out until they've set-up a similar little mini-Britain in that country, whichever one it is. China was set-up by the west, from scratch really, modern China. It was funded by the west, completely, to do its present role, because the elites' forefathers decided that China would be the manufacturer for the planet one day. I have books from the 1930's, discussing this, from the Council On Foreign Relations and Royal Institute of International Affairs, their members meetings or minutes of their meetings.

Here's how it's reported, again:

"The 90-page report comments on widely discussed issues such as the growing economic importance of India and China, the militarization of space..."

Alan: That was also done because they're making us so bemused (and amused) about Star Trek and science fiction, getting us all to be "gung-ho" for it. It's called predictive programming, again. It was the Rothschild's, in fact, who first started novelists in the 1800's towards science fiction, and told them what they wanted them to write about to get us all excited, get the youth excited, then when something comes along in their lifetime, they'll think it's normal. They'll think it's a natural way to go, even though they could go off in a thousand different directions, with our work, our money, our labor et cetera. They had to do it with space, and so they gave it excitement. We don't mind being taxed so much for all these rockets that go up and put military satellites up there; and all kinds of gizmos that are going eventually to be used on us. That's the real function of NASA—not sending out Hubble spacecraft and giving us little simulated cartoons of something landing on Mars. They actually put up a lot of stuff to do with the military, for the present, and for stuff that's going to be used once we're all chipped. It's already up there. The space shuttle, its job really, is not to take up worms or spiders and see if they can mate when they're free-falling; that's what they tell the public—and what a joke that is. No, it's to repair and put more of this equipment up into space.

The militarization of space:

"...and even what it calls "declining news quality" with the rise of "internet-enabled, citizen-journalists..."

Alan: "Declining news quality"—let's decipher that part. "Declining news quality"—when did it ever have any quality? Huh? Every journalist knows, to get a job, he acts like the censor. He knows what boss wants, and he gives boss what he wants, or he's out of a job. They smell the wind. Of course the better ones, the ones that are psychopathic, get up on television and become major characters—like old Dan Rather you see, "old father Rather." That's where a whole generation grew up with this guy acting like daddy; "and would daddy ever lie to you? Of course not." That's how it's done. Here they are about declining news quality—meaning their control.

"...with the rise of "internet-enabled, citizen journalists and pressure to release stories "at the expense of facts".

Alan: Oh yes, because only the elite can tell you what the facts are. They're authorized and their workers will do it. They'll write it for you. If you're not authorized, then they won't call it facts. They'll call it "**conspiracy theory**," and here you are in ***The Guardian***; like this is not a conspiracy theory there. No. This is just an agenda of very extreme "probabilities," they say, that are going to happen to the world. Therefore, when they say this, it's okay; it's fact, which should make us all tremble. If anyone else says it that's not authorized, it's a conspiracy theory.

"It includes other, some frightening, some reassuring, potential developments that are not so often discussed."

Alan: This is how they lighten it a little bit. They lighten it a little bit, you see.

"An electromagnetic pulse will probably become operational..."

Alan: This is how they do it. I love this.

"...by 2035..."

Alan: They've been testing it here for the last 50 years. See, it's already here. They keep us living in the past always by saying, "one day they'll be able to do this." They already can do that. This is old stuff. That's how they get away with this stuff; and they do use it. Or, "it can't be, because a science magazines say they haven't got it operational yet," and that's what Joe Public thinks. This is old stuff.

"...will be able to destroy all communications systems in a selected area or be used against a "world city" such as an international business service hub.

Alan: "An international business service hub."

"The development of neutron weapons which destroy living organs but not buildings..."

Alan: That's like the neutron bomb—neutrino bomb.

"...might make a weapon of choice for extreme ethnic cleansing in an increasingly populated world".

Alan: Now, you should listen to that again, eh? Shall we listen to that again, boys and girls (all you who are unauthorized to communicate with each other)?

Once again:

"The development of neutron weapons which destroy living organs but not buildings might make a weapon of choice for extreme ethnic cleansing in an increasingly populated world."

Alan: Of course, that will be the middle classes that will be doing that, right? Or maybe the lower classes, huh? If they have a whip round with their hats, and collect enough little coin, they can build these weapons. Of course not. They're telling you here, it's themselves that *"might make a weapon of choice for extreme ethnic cleansing in an increasingly populated world."* Hmm.

Alan: Which also tells you they've discussed the scenario of what ethnic groups they want to cleanse.

"For extreme ethnic cleansing in an increasingly populated world."

Alan: The purpose is to depopulate; so which ones will they start with? This has all been discussed already, long ago.

"The use of unmanned weapons platforms would enable the "application of lethal force without human intervention, raising consequential legal and ethical issues". The "explicit use" of chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear weapons and devices delivered by unmanned vehicles or missiles. The "explicit use" of chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear weapons and devices delivered by unmanned vehicles or missiles."

Alan: This is all their stuff, you see. They already have all this, the technology. Once again, they're going to start off by putting it way off in the future, like one day they'll be able to do this. They just haven't quite got the bugs ironed out of the thing yet, the gizmo they're going to use, to make us think, "Oh, we're going to be safe now." That's off in the future. This is old stuff. This is predictive programming, in fact.

"By 2035--"

Alan: You know, these characters will laugh at anyone making a prediction about anything, and some predictive people are laughable to begin with, depending on what crystals balls or tea leaves they're using, sure enough. However, here's the elite with their think tanks with all their predictions.

"By 2035, an implantable "information chip"--"

Alan: We know it's going to be before that. Believe you me; it's going to be before that. It's already to go now.

"...could be wired directly to the brain."

Alan: "Could be." They've done it already. They discussed that at the Loyola Meeting of world scientists; that it's ready to go. They even have the computers set-up to control populations across the western world. They're just waiting to convince us to take it, through some crisis or whatever.

"A growing pervasiveness of information communications technology will enable states, terrorists or criminals, to mobilise "flashmobs", challenging security forces to match this potential agility coupled with an ability to concentrate forces quickly in a small area."

Alan: "Flashmobs," and then it goes on to Marxism:

"The middle classes could become a revolutionary class, taking the role envisaged for the proletariat by Marx," says the report."

Alan: This is an official report from the Ministry of Defense; remember. You know, that big department of bully boys that's well funded. They're psychopaths, but they don't have the multi-millions, so they work and they wear uniforms and get called all fancy titles. They work for the higher psychopaths that already have all the loot. This is from the Big Boys, the Big Stick.

"The thesis is based on a growing gap, between the middle classes and the super-rich, on one hand, and an urban under-class threatening social order: "The world's middle classes might unite, using access to knowledge, resources and skills to shape transnational processes in their own class interest".

Alan: That won't happen, because it will all be left-wing and right-wing, and they'll still be arguing about who to vote for; so they don't have to worry about that, for a while.

"Marxism could also be revived, it says, because of global inequality."

Alan: They've caused global inequality, by looting the Middle and the Far East, for a couple of thousand years, at least. Now they're building up China to control that whole area, and under the Pacific Rim negotiations, China will actually be the boss over New Zealand and Australia. That was lumped in with it also, without the consent or knowledge of the public there. This is all a done deal; and the global inequality was all planned too. They discussed that back at the beginning of the 1900's. In the '70's, the UN was giving out its statistics on the same thing—the widening gap between rich and poor, et cetera, as it gave inoculations laced with AIDS across Africa.

"An increased trend towards moral relativism and pragmatic values will encourage people to seek the "sanctuary" provided by more rigid belief systems, including religious orthodoxy and doctrinaire political ideologies, such as popularism and Marxism".

Alan: This is from the professors they pull in from the Ivy League schools, as they always do, and the historians. You have psychologists, sociologists and historians that work for these think tanks. Many of the better named ones, or known ones, that we read about in the published books, work for these guys. That's why they're better known.

And so then there'll be a *"trend towards moral relativism and pragmatic value encourage people to seek the sanctuary provided by more rigid belief systems, including religious orthodoxy and doctrinaire political ideologies, such as popularism and Marxism"*.

What was it **Margaret Thatcher** said at the meeting around 1991 in Massey Hall in Toronto, Canada? This is before the Middle East and all that. I guess she was talking to a bunch of the CFR and some novice reporter was in for the *Toronto Sun*, and maybe the editor was off that weekend, because it actually got in the paper, this one single long column.

The title of her talk was called ***"The New World Order."*** She went through the scenario of the coming war with the Middle East, years before the first Gulf War, and that religious fanaticism would have to be eliminated in the world, and she was talking about that too, and "fundamental religions" she called them. This stuff here that they're releasing to us now, this blurb here, is only a rehashing of stuff they debated years ago, in the same higher think tanks. This is the time, now, when they start dishing it out to us. Thatcher was given all that stuff then, or "Dame" Thatcher, yeah.

Thatcher was quite the character, because it was an interesting thing at the time. She and Denis (her husband - little Denis) were big into buying real estate, for government and stuff, or at least it turned out that way. When she was in office it used to happen, once in a while, that when Thatcher decided to put up a new military base (for the Americans or whoever), and then Parliament when to take the purchase of the land, Denis had just beaten them to it. Then the government would have to pay big, big buckaroos to buy it back from Denis. They have such a lot of luck, these people, and that's ***"proving your worth."*** If you prove your worth, you get a knighthood and you get to be in the House of Lords.

However, to continue with this article here:

"Pressures leading to social unrest,

By 2010 more than 50% of the world's population will be living in urban rather than rural environments..."

Alan: It's because that's the agenda. We're all to get off the land, stuck in the cities and piled on top of each other—except for the elite and the higher middle classes, who will live for a while in their very posh, wealthy, solar-powered, ultra-modern habitat areas and in the rural areas.

"...so 50% of the world's population will be living in urban rather than rural environments, leading to social deprivation and "new instability risks", and the growth of shanty towns."

Alan: Oh, "shanty towns."

"By 2035, that figure will rise to 60%."

Alan: Hmm.

"Migration will increase."

Alan: It's because you'll all be looking for work, everywhere, you see.

"Globalisation may lead to levels of international integration that effectively bring inter-state warfare to an end."

Alan: Oh, there's the good part. They'll have NGOs, ready to cheer all this on—because it's going to bring inter-state warfare to an end.

"But it may lead to "inter-communal conflict"--"

Alan: Hmm.

"...communities with shared interests transcending national boundaries and resorting to the use of violence."

"Population and Resources: *The global population is likely to grow to 8.5 billion in 2035..."*

Alan: That's your Malthusian stuff. Malthus was exactly the same. He made all these charts up, which were totally fake. He grossly over-stated what the population would be in his near future, and they've never stopped doing it, ever since, to pretend to us and try to validate the need to bring our populations down.

"Some 87% of people under the age of 25 live in the developing world. Demographic trends, which will exacerbate economic and social tensions, have serious implications for the environment..."

Alan: Oh, they care about the environment. These are the guys that demolished mountains to get the gold, to run the world, a long time ago, and then still do it, in some places yet.

"...including the provision of clean water and other resources..."

Alan: That's why we've got to give all water resources over the United Nations, which will then subcontract it out to private/public corporations. The public pays the costs. The private sector takes the profits. That's how it's always set up.

"...and for international relations. The population of sub-Saharan Africa will increase over the period by 81%, and that of Middle Eastern countries by 132%."

Alan: Ha, ha, ha. That is, if they don't all come down with radiation sickness in the meantime.

"The Middle East: The massive population growth will mean the Middle East, and to a lesser extent North Africa, will remain highly unstable, says the report. It singles out Saudi Arabia, the most lucrative market for British arms, with unemployment levels of 20% and a "youth bulge" in a state whose population has risen from 7 million to 27 million since 1980."

Alan: That's because they're all flooding in there, because there's nowhere else to work. That's where all the Big Boys' oil is, and so on. Also, there are wars all around them, in other countries, thanks to the west. So you can fudge figures, and you can give statements, without giving real reasons why. It's not because they're simply over-breeding. It's because they're flooding in, you see.

"The expectations of growing numbers of young people [in the whole region] many of whom will be confronted by the prospect of endemic unemployment ..."

Alan: "Endemic unemployment."

"...are unlikely to be met," says the report.

Alan: So it says here.

"Islamic militancy."

Alan: Oh, here we go—Islam again. Oh, goodness me!

"Resentment among young people in the face of unrepresentative regimes will find outlets in political militancy, including radical political Islam whose concept of Umma, the global Islamic community, and resistance to capitalism may lie uneasily in an international system based on nation-states and global market forces..."

Alan: That's a mouthful.

"...the report warns. The effects of such resentment will be expressed through the migration of youth populations and global communications, encouraging contacts between Diaspora communities and their countries of origin. Tension between the Islamic world and the west will remain, and may increasingly be targeted at China "whose new-found materialism..."

Alan: Ha, ha, ha.

"...economic vibrancy, and institutionalized atheism, will be an anathema to orthodox Islam".

Alan: They've got it all worked out, and had it all worked out, long before they even built China up.

"Iran will steadily grow in economic and demographic strength, and its energy reserves and geographic location will give it substantial strategic leverage.

However, its government could be transformed. "From the middle of the period," says the report..."

Alan: I wonder who this report is, "Mr. Report," eh? It's always "the report". It's always vague, "the report."

"...the country, especially its high proportion..."

Alan: They can't tell you the names of the guys, you see, because it's top secret. It's top secret. You must keep your special agents' names out of the public limelight.

"... So from the middle of the period," says the report the country, especially its high proportion of younger people, will want to benefit from increased access to globalisation and diversity..."

Alan: "Diversity."

"...and it may be that Iran progressively, but unevenly, transforms...into a vibrant democracy."

Alan: It's where they can vote left or right and go round in circles forever.

"Casualties and the amount of damage inflicted by terrorism will stay low compared to other forms of coercion and conflict. But acts of extreme violence, supported by elements within Islamist states, with media exploitation to maximize the impact--"

Alan: Maximize—they love that word "maximize," like "maximize profits."

"...maximize the impact of the "theatre of violence..."

Alan: That means it's a show of violence.

"...will persist. A "terrorist coalition", the report says, including a wide range of reactionary and revolutionary rejectionists--"

Alan: Oh, rejectionists, eh?

"...such as ultra-nationalists..."

Alan: It's because nationalism is bad, bad. When the psychopaths were making up all nations, it was good, good, good. Now that you have the global club of psychopaths, it's bad, bad, bad.

Then it goes on to say:

"...religious groupings and even extreme environmentalists..."

Alan: Even the guys that are employed, and brainwashed to be extreme environmentalists, might turn against them, when they don't get their payoffs and

so on, or their pensions are stopped from the foundations.

"...and it might conduct a global campaign of greater intensity".

Alan: "Climate Change." Oh, here's the biggie, the biggie they're doing already by the Wizard of Oz act. Remember the old Wizard of Oz, the man behind the curtain, who terrified a whole people in a city, from his little booth, by sciences the public didn't know existed? It's the same thing.

"There is "compelling evidence..."

Alan: It doesn't say what it is.

"...to indicate that climate change is occurring and that the atmosphere will continue to warm at an unprecedented rate throughout the 21st century. It could lead to a reduction in north Atlantic salinity by increasing the freshwater runoff from the Arctic. This could affect the natural circulation of the north Atlantic by diminishing the warming effect of ocean currents on Western Europe. "The drop in temperature might exceed that of the miniature ice age of the 17th and 18th centuries."

Alan: They had these little mini ice ages. We have them. They go up and down, up and down, up and down, and they always have done, for millions and millions of years, but they're playing it up, and of course they're causing all the global warming. It's no big deal to actually melt the North Pole, by even the HAARP technology alone, never mind all the spraying they're doing coupled with the HAARP. If it's global warming, global is global—What's happening to the ANT-arctic? Shouldn't it all be warming and melting everywhere, or are they just focusing on one area?

That's not a big deal really, because ancient priesthoods studied stars and comets, and would terrify the public. That's why people are always terrified when they hear about a comet. It was a bad omen, because the priests kept telling them that. The priests would tell them it was coming, and all the charlatans would do their divining—pull out their cards and stuff and do horoscopes—and say, "oh, a nasty thing is coming from the east, from Orion." Everybody would panic and say, "my God!" Then, when they saw something up here, this tail of a comet, they thought it was the end of the world, because it was predicted by these charlatans, who certainly had the knowledge from previous priests of when these comets passed. They had them, for many, many thousands of years, because the ancient priesthoods were stellar, lunar, and solar. They had it all charted long, long ago.

You can do a lot when you keep sciences back from the public, because **"magic is just science that isn't understood by the public yet."** That's what's going on. This is your typical British statement coming out from the Ministry of Defense. They don't speak to you in Britain, they dictate to you. They dictate down to you, and that's what I noticed growing up in that bureaucratic Orwellian country, the news was dictated to you. The news used to come on, and it would be "the department of this, declares, and department of that, has said." There are so many departments. It was just like a bunch of departments telling you their latest law, or whatever, one after the other, very much like the Soviet Union. That's when I first clued in, they were both really the same guys running it.

That's how the world really is; and that's from "***The Guardian***." You can check into it, by Richard Norton-Taylor, a double-barreled name. Monday, **April 9th, 2007**. "***Revolution, Flashmobs and Brainchips: A Grim Vision of the Future***."

It's always grim though, isn't it? They never give you happy visions of the future, because they don't intend that you be happy. If you're happy, you might get happy and content being in that field grazing. It's hard to stampede the lower animals, and get them moving again, if they're very happy and content. Therefore, they've always got to keep you on the edge of war or some catastrophe of one kind or another. It's old stuff. They don't tell you all the characters, and they will never tell you, because they all have MI5 and MI6 clearance, these characters, that work for them and do all these think tank spiels, which are then unloaded down to the "unwashed masses," in its dictatorial form.

This is not conspiracy, of course, no, because it's "authorized." It's authorized new-speak. If you use new-speak then you're a "conspiracy theorist," and who invented the term "conspiracy theorists?" They did—to discredit those who parrot the information given out from the top, the unauthorized people.

This is the sad and sometimes laughable world we live in. We don't analyze how things are presented to us. We accept the world as it's been given to us; at least most people do. I don't, never did. I hope to teach others to question and think for themselves, and to question these kinds of articles and dissect them. You'll find a lot when you simply dissect the article—these simple short quips and statements—and ask the obvious question along the way, many times, why? Why must it be so? If it must be so, then who planned it? In a world of variables, how can you plan something like that? It is planned. It's not variable. That's the reality of the world we live in. The world has always been planned by the pathocracy at the top.

That's about my time for tonight, being rushed off my feet, as usual. I'm dealing, at the moment, with translators coming in from across Europe. Pretty well, across the world really; and I'll still be doing the same tomorrow. I'll be in touch with Linda in Spain, and if you can maybe email me your phone number, I can phone as well, and we could possibly get the translations worked out.

It's been a busy, busy week; and it's been a busy weekend. I hope you can take the time over what I've said tonight. Think about it. Don't let it ruin your day. You must never let predictive programming ruin your day, but it makes you weary.

From myself and Hamish, I think we'll go out on that rock and release some energy tonight; maybe even go for a long walk. It's kind of like when we leave home, it's like being a gypsy. You're never ever certain if you're ever going back. There's something very quaint about the idea of being a gypsy and traveling, because that's how it will be in the near future, where nothing can be permanent. That's all planned that way. So for a while, it will be a transition phase while many of us become the modern gypsies. So we'll have a little trot up the road tonight, and probably a little dance to this kind of music.

From Hamish and I, it's good night and may your god or gods go with you.

"Habla Me"
By Gypsy Kings

Habla me
Como te camelo yo
Quere me
Como te amo yo

Es un amor possible
De que amor que yo tenia lo guardaba
De que amor que yo tenia lo guardaba
Es como un filma y volvera ye

Quere me
Como te camelo yo
Quere me
Como te amo yo

Es un amor possible
De que amor que yo tenia lo guardaba
De que amor que yo tenia lo guardaba
Es como un sueno y volvera ye

Y ya volvera ver
Que no hay comprende
De que amor que yo tenia lo guardaba
De que amor que yo tenia lo guardaba

Es como un sueno y volvera ye
Yo se que volveras y no san fin el amor
De que amor que yo tenia lo guardaba
De que amor que yo tenia lo guardaba
Es como un sueno y volvera ye

(Transcribed by Linda)